

No. 38/SPCID/DR/2012

Office of the  
Supdt. of Police(CID),  
Puducherry.

Dated: 27.08.2012



NOTE

Sub: To make full utilization of Video Conferencing Facilities-Reg.

\*\*\*\*\*

As instructed by the respected IOP, I am to forward herewith the letter dated 19.09.2011 received from Hon'ble High Court, Madras communicating the guidelines to judicial Officers and Police Department in Puducherry to make full use of the Video Conferencing facilities for extending the remand period of the prisoners.

It is requested to issue instructions to all Station House Officer/Investigation Officer of Police Department to make full use of the Video Conferencing facilities in all cases expecting those circumstances as indicated in the Hon'ble High Court's, Madras guidelines dated 13.03.2009.

*[Signature]*  
SUPDT. OF POLICE (CID)  
PUDUCHERRY

Copy to :

SPs North / South / Rural / Traffic / PCR Cell / Karaikal / Mahe and Yanam.

web

Copy communicated to all cis/stos for  $\frac{1}{4}$

*[Signature]*  
SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE (SQUAD)  
PUDUCHERRY

Forwarded to Stho F1 & F2 Rs

Box N/A

*[Signature]*  
INSPECTOR OF POLICE  
VILLIANUR CIRCLE  
PUDUCHERRY

ROC No. 6A/2005/Comp4

Date: 19.09.2011  
High Court, Madras

From  
S. Udayan, B.A., B.L.,  
Registrar (Administration),  
High Court of Madras,  
Madras - 600 104.



To  
The Chief Judge,  
Puducherry.



O/o. The IG Prisons, Puducherry  
No. 002011  
Date 17 SEP 2011

Sir,

Sub: Prisons - Video Conferencing Systems - to make full utilisation of Video Conferencing Systems - Guidelines requested - regarding.

Ref: 1. High Court's Letter ROC.No.6A/2005/Comp4, Dated: 13/3/2009.  
2. Letter No.25-6/IGP/PA/2010-11, dated 06.09.2011 received from Inspector General of Prisons, Puducherry.

\*\*\*\*\*

I am to enclose a copy of a letter dated 06.09.2011, received from the Inspector General of Prisons, Puducherry, requesting to issue guidelines to Judicial Officers and Police Department in Puducherry to utilize the services of Video Conferencing facilities.

Therefore, I am to state that guidelines as issued by the High Court, Madras vide letter 1<sup>st</sup> cited may be communicated to the Inspector General of Prisons, Puducherry.

Yours faithfully,

for Registrar (Administration)

Encl: as above

Copy to:  
Inspector General of Prisons, ✓  
Mathur Road, Kalapet,  
Puducherry - 605 014.

OFFICE OF THE I.G. PRISONS  
PUDUCHERRY  
No. 621  
Received on: 24-8-12  
Dispatched on: 24-8-12

Sub. to I.G.P. Puducherry.

Pl. find documents/letter circulated by Hon'ble H.C. Madras regarding use of video conf. facilities. It is inform to you that Prisons all having good communication & connectivity & with Courts all over U.T. Puducherry. Suitable directions may be given to Police to utilize in same.

Pl. circulate to all concerned immediately.

SUG.

Pl. circulate to all concerned.

110/123

Pl. circulate it all

S.H.S., G.I. S.P. & S.P.s to follow the Guidelines.

I.G. Prisons

24/8/2012

SOC No. SA/2205/Contd.



Date: 13.03.2009  
High Court, Madras  
Phone - 044-25301114  
044-25359074  
Email: regitmhc.tn@nic.in

From  
Thiru. R. Jonathan Gnaniah, B.Sc., B.L.,  
Registrar (J.T.),  
(District Judge on Special Duty)  
High Court, Madras - 600104.



To  
\*\*\*

Sir,

Sub: Prisons - Video Conferencing Systems - to make full utilisation of Video Conferencing Systems - Guidelines issued regarding.

- 12-3-09
- Ref: 1. From the Director General of Prisons, Chennai - 8, letter No. 39310/PW3/08, dated 12.11.2008  
2. From the Home (Pr.IV) Department, Secretariat, Chennai - 9, letter No. 102458/Pr.IV/08-1 Dated 23.11.2008

It has been brought to the notice of the High Court by the Director General of Prisons, Chennai that some Session Judges and Judicial Magistrates in the State insist upon the accused to be physically produced for remand and remand extension even though video conferencing facility is available.

All the judicial officers in the State are required to make full use of the video conferencing facility for extending the remand period of the prisoners except when some circumstances such as those enumerated below require the production of the accused in person before the court:-

- 805  
18-3-09
1. When the remand of an accused is extended through video conferencing without the accused being produced in Court for two or more hearings continuously.
  2. When the accused needs to consult or give instructions to his lawyer.
  3. When the accused wishes to move an application for bail and argue it himself.
  4. When the accused or any close relative interested in him complain of ill treatment in the prison.
  5. When the accused wishes to complain regarding the lack of or denial of proper and necessary basic facilities in the prison.
  6. When the presence of the accused in court is indispensable for progress in trial such as when the court has to record the plea of the accused and his answers to questions u/s 313 of Cr P C, when witnesses have to identify the accused, during examination of important witnesses and at the time of pronouncing judgment etc.
  7. For the purpose of plea bargaining proceedings (Sec 265-A Cr P C)
  8. For recording of a statement of the accused u/s 164 Cr P C
  9. When a magistrate passes an order u/s 267 Cr P C (production on P.T. Warrant)